## **Engineering Summary for Quarry 1 Post-Mining Topography (PMT) Design at the GCC Tijeras Plant**

Prepared for:

GCC Rio Grande Inc. 11783 Highway 337 South Tijeras, New Mexico 87123



### Prepared by:

Water & Earth Technologies, Inc. 1225 Red Cedar Circle, Suite A Fort Collins, CO 80524



December 30, 2019

I, Richard Spotts, state that the information presented in the report entitled "Engineering Summary for Quarry 1 PMT Design at the GCC Tijeras Plant" prepared for GCC Rio Grande Inc. dated December 13, 2019, was prepared by me or a person(s) under my supervision and is correct to the best of my knowledge and information.



### **Table of Contents**

Introduction	. 1
PMT Design	. 1
Maximum Slope Length and Drainage Density	. 1
Hydrology and Hydraulics	. 1
Drain Design	.2
Topography	. 3
Grading Tolerances	. 3
Performance Standards	. 3
Conclusion	.4

### **Table of Tables**

Table 1.	Maximum Slope Lengths for Slope Gradients to Limit Hillslope Erosion1	
Table 2.	Hydrologic and Channel Size Summary	į

### **Drawing List**

SHEET 1 – QUARRY 1 COVER SHEET SHEET 2 – QUARRY 1 SITE OVERVIEW AND FINAL GRADING PLAN SHEET 3 – QUARRY 1 CUT/FILL MAP & CONSTRUCTION VOLUME SUMMARY SHEET 4 – QUARRY 1 DRAIN D1 PLAN AND PROFILE SHEET 5 – QUARRY 1 DRAINS D2, D3, D4, & D5 PLAN AND PROFILE SHEET 6 – QUARRY 1 DRAINS D6, D7, D8, & D9 PLAN AND PROFILE SHEET 7 – QUARRY 1 DRAINS D10, D11, & D12 PLAN AND PROFILE SHEET 8 – QUARRY 1 DRAINS D13, D14, & D15 PLAN AND PROFILE SHEET 9 – QUARRY 1 DRAINS D16, D17, D18, & D19 PLAN AND PROFILE SHEET 10 – QUARRY 1 DRAINS D20 QUARRY 1 PLAN AND PROFILE SHEET 11 – QUARRY 1 DRAINS D21, D22, & D23 PLAN AND PROFILE SHEET 12 – QUARRY 1 DRAINS D24, D25, & D26 PLAN AND PROFILE SHEET 13 – QUARRY 1 DRAIN DESIGN & CROSS-SECTION DETAILS SHEET 14 – QUARRY 1 GEOMORPHIC GRADING DETAILS

### Introduction

Water & Earth Technologies, Inc. (WET) has prepared this post-mining topography (PMT) design for Quarry 1 at the GCC Rio Grande Inc. Tijeras Plant (GCC). WET used a geomorphic design approach for development of the PMT at Quarry 1. The PMT consists of an undulating surface created by numerous small ridges and drains. The result is a complex topography with short concave slopes and numerous slope aspects. The goal of this PMT design is to create a stable landform that blends into the surrounding terrain, supporting revegetation diversity, and optimizing geomorphic stability.

Quarry 1 has a predominant aspect of east-northeast and drains directly into Sediment Pond 1. There is still some limestone that will be mined in Quarry 1 before reclamation activities can proceed. GCC provided WET with a projected surface at the end of mining that was the basis for development of the PMT.

### PMT Design

The Quarry 1 reclamation area comprises about 27.2 acres with a relatively steep mean gradient of 22.8 percent. There is no upgradient watershed that runs onto Quarry 1. Stormwater runoff for the entire area is ephemeral and is routed through small drains into Sediment Pond 1. The Quarry 1 PMT design uses short slope lengths to minimize surface erosion.

### Maximum Slope Length and Drainage Density

The Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) was used to predict soil detachment rates with a limit of 4.4 tons/ac/year as a guideline for design. Inputs for RUSLE included an R-Factor of 27, a K-Factor of 0.33 for topdressing, a C-Factor for reclamation of 0.12, with LS-Factors calculated for five different slope gradients that are encountered in the Quarry 1 PMT design. These input parameters have previously been used successfully at the geomorphic reclamation in Quarry 4 at GCC Tijeras Plant. Maximum slope lengths for slope gradients ranging from 15 percent through 50 percent are presented below (Table 1).

Table 1.	Maximum	Slope	Lengths	for	Slope	Gradients	to	Limit	Hillslope	Erosion
		1	0 /		1				1	

Slope	Maximum Slope Length (ft)
50%	30 ft
33%	55 ft
25%	87 ft
20%	137 ft
15%	273 ft

Drainage density is the measurement of the total length of all streams per unit area of drainage basin. The Quarry 1 PMT was designed with a high drainage density to accommodate steep slopes, local soils, and worst-case conditions. The drainage density for Quarry 1 is 451 linear feet/acre.

### Hydrology and Hydraulics

The Quarry 1 PMT drains are designed to safely pass the 100-year, 24-hour storm event. The Quarry 1 PMT design has Small Drains, Medium Drains, and one Large Drain. The Small Drains have watershed areas of about 1 to 2 acres with steep channel gradients between 15 and 25 percent. As two or more Small Drains combine, they become Medium Drains. At the lower end of the PMT design, two Medium Drains combine to form a Large Drain.

SEDCAD 4.0 was used for hydrologic and hydraulic modeling of the designed drains. Peak discharges during the 100-year, 24-hour storm was modeled for incremental watershed sizes from ½ acre up to 1.83 acres, which encompasses the size range of all the Small Drains. Similarly, peak discharge was modeled

for seven additional watersheds ranging in size from 2 acres up to 10.48 acres that correspond with Medium Drains. Peak discharge for the 100-year, 24-hour storm was modeled for one watershed for the Large Drain.

The hydrologic input parameters included: 1) the 100-year, 24-hour rainfall depth of 3.55 inches as specified in NOAA Atlas 14, 2) a New Mexico Type II-65 rainfall distribution, 3) a curve number of 77, and 4) watershed areas as measured from the PMT at various design points. Results of the hydrologic analysis are summarized below (Table 2). The Channel Utility feature within SEDCAD 4.0 was used for hydraulic analysis for the designed drains. Peak discharge for the 100-year, 24-hour storm was applied to the drains at various channel gradients occurring within the PMT.

### Drain Design

This PMT design follows geomorphic reclamation principles that emphasize concave drainage gradient profiles. As drainage area and flow rate increases, the channel gradient decreases; this design approach serves to balance erosional and depositional forces and optimize topographic stability. To achieve adequate landform stability, twenty-six drains are included in the Quarry 1 PMT design. Two small drains flow directly into Sediment Pond 1, with the remaining sub-watersheds and their respective drains forming a dendritic complex watershed network that flows into Sediment Pond 1 at the opposite end from its outlet. This larger watershed includes Small, Medium, and Large Drains.

The Small Drains have a 3-foot bottom width, are least 2-feet deep and have sideslopes that are 4h:1v or flatter. The Small Drains will be constructed from a compacted rocky soil that consists of 70% 6-inch minus rock, by volume, and 30% soil, by volume. The largest Small Drain is D1 with an area of 1.83 acres. Sub-watershed D1 was used for channel design in the SEDCAD Channel Utility to ensure at least 1 foot of freeboard above the peak water surface elevation and for calculating rock size (Table 2).

As the Small Drains flow together, they become Medium Drains. The SEDCAD Channel Utility was used to model six medium drainage locations, ranging in watershed area from 2.0 acres up to 10.48 acres. These drain designs have sideslopes 4h:1v or flatter, a 5-foot bottom width and at least 2 feet of depth. The Medium Drains will be constructed from a compacted rocky soil that consists of 70% 6-inch minus rock, by volume, and 30% soil, by volume.

The Large Soil Riprap Drain will be constructed for one short reach, approximately 70-feet long, beginning at the confluence of drains D1 and D24 and ending in Sediment Pond 1. This is the largest drain with a total watershed area of 24.39 ac. It has a moderate gradient of 5.3 percent. The Large Soil Riprap Drain has an 8-foot bottom width with at least 2 feet of depth and 4h:1v sideslopes. Soil Riprap consists of a mixture of 65% riprap and 35% native soil. The riprap will have a D50 of 6-inches as specified in the Drawings. Soil Riprap will be placed and compacted into the subgrade to achieve a dense mass that is virtually free of voids.

The rock and riprap for these drains is intended to be sourced locally from the Tijeras Limestone Mine, and from excavation of Quarry 1, if possible. If bedrock or gravelly material is encountered during excavation of the drains at final grade, then over-excavation and replacement with riprap is not required. Significant voids in channel linings are not permitted. A site Engineer shall observe and approve channel lining placement during construction.

Hydrologic Pa	rameters	Channel Parameters								
Watershed Area (ac)	Q100 (cfs)	Bottom Width (ft)	Flow Depth (ft)	Channel Depth (ft)	Sideslope (Z:1)	Channel Protection	Channel Size			
0.50	1.3	3.0	0.14	2.0	4.0	Rocky Mixture	Small			
1.00	2.5	3.0	0.17	2.0	4.0	Rocky Mixture	Small			
1.83	4.6	3.0	0.30	2.0	4.0	Rocky Mixture	Small			
2.00	5.0	3.0	0.35	2.0	4.0	Rocky Mixture	Small			
4.27	10.8	5.0	0.33	2.0	4.0	Rocky Mixture	Medium			
4.57	11.5	5.0	0.39	2.0	4.0	Rocky Mixture	Medium			
7.21	18.2	5.0	0.47	2.0	4.0	Rocky Mixture	Medium			
8.64	21.8	5.0	0.53	2.0	4.0	Rocky Mixture	Medium			
10.43	26.3	5.0	0.66	2.0	4.0	Rocky Mixture	Medium			
10.48	26.4	5.0	0.69	2.0	4.0	Rocky Mixture	Medium			
24.39	61.4	8.0	0.83	2.0	4.0	6-inch Soil Riprap	Large			

### Table 2. Hydrologic and Channel Size Summary

### Topography

The final PMT design controls erosion by limiting slope length and including many small topographic undulations formed by drains, ridges, sub-ridges and sub-valleys. The ridges and drain form the general PMT, while the sub-ridges and sub-valleys are subtle grading features that are intended to direct overland flow into the drains and limit slope length. The final Drawings specify that all drains, ridges, sub-ridges, and sub-valleys must be present in the final constructed surface. Topdressing will be placed at a minimum depth of 2 feet, thereby establishing the final reclaimed surface. Topdressing will not be placed within the cross-sectional areas of the drains.

### Grading Tolerances

Machine control is specified for subgraded and final graded surfaces. Digital files of the surfaces will be provided to the party responsible for construction. Tolerance for the final grade is plus or minus 1-foot. A close tolerance is required due to the importance of achieving slope gradients and lengths, and smooth channel profiles. Deviations outside of the grading tolerance must be approved by a site Engineer. In addition to topographic tolerances, the final graded surface must include all topographic features including drains, ridges, sub-ridges and sub-valleys.

### Performance Standards

The performance standards presented here will be used to determine when, and if, repairs are necessary to the PMT. The performance standards consider both hillslope stability and channel stability. Repair work, including the method of repair and urgency of repair should be discussed and agreed upon with New Mexico Mining and Minerals Division (MMD) prior to implementation. In the early years following final reclamation (suggested years 1 through year 5), it may be permissible to observe erosion and determine if vegetation is able to mature and stabilize the area without additional management inputs, if determined appropriate by a site Engineer and MMD.

- 1. If a hillslope contains numerous parallel rills and gullies, at least 6 inches deep, that are clearly systemic with no vegetation colonizing the rilled area, then repairs will become necessary. Isolated rills and gulleys do not require repair unless they threaten the integrity of the overall landform.
- 2. If significant vertical incision occurs in the drains at the reach scale (i.e., greater than 1-foot deep) then repairs will become necessary. The reach scale is defined as a distance equal to 10 times the channel width, measured at the peak water surface elevation for the 100-year, 24-hour storm.
- 3. If significant lateral erosion occurs in the drains resulting in destabilization of the landform sideslopes, or results in erosion outside of the compacted rocky soil lining, then repairs will become necessary. Destabilizing the landform sideslopes is defined as oversteepening such that vegetation fails to establish on the landform sideslope above the drain (ie. Greater than 2-feet above the drain invert).

### Conclusion

In this PMT design hydrologic, hydraulic, and erosion analyses were conducted for worst-case conditions. Geomorphic reclamation principles were used to design the PMT for a stable surface with topographic diversity. As the reclaimed area matures and vegetation becomes established, the PMT is expected to function as a natural system without the need for regular maintenance. This PMT design package includes final grading topography, drain design, and performance standards.

# QUARRY 1 POST-MINING TOPOGRAPHY (PMT) DESIGN TIJERAS, BERNALILLO COUNTY, NEW MEXICO DECEMBER 13, 2019

STATE OF NEW MEXICO



# PROJECT LOCATION (QUARRY 1) 1050

# **PROJECT LOCATION**

CLIENT: GCC TIJERAS

11783 HIGHWAY 337 SOUTH TIJERAS, NM, 87123



# ENGINEER:

WATER & EARTH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 1225 RED CEDAR CIR, SUITE A FORT COLLINS, COLORADO 80524



# DRAWING INDEX:

SHEET #	SHEET TITLE
1	QUARRY 1 COVER SHEET
2	QUARRY 1 SITE OVERVIEW AND FINAL GRADING PLAN
3	QUARRY 1 CUT/FILL MAP & CONSTRUCTION VOLUME SUMMARY
4	QUARRY 1 DRAIN D1 PLAN AND PROFILE
5	QUARRY 1 DRAINS D2, D3, D4 & D5 PLAN AND PROFILE
6	QUARRY 1 DRAINS D6, D7, D8 & D9 PLAN AND PROFILE
7	QUARRY 1 DRAINS D10, D11 & D12 PLAN AND PROFILE
8	QUARRY 1 DRAINS D13, D14 & D15 PLAN AND PROFILE
9	QUARRY 1 DRAINS D16, D17, D18 & D19 PLAN AND PROFILE
10	QUARRY 1 DRAIN D20 PLAN AND PROFILE
11	QUARRY 1 DRAINS D21, D22 & D23 PLAN AND PROFILE
12	QUARRY 1 DRAINS D24, D25 & D26 PLAN AND PROFILE
13	QUARRY 1 DRAIN DESIGN AND CROSS-SECTION DETAILS
14	QUARRY 1 GEOMORPHIC GRADING DETAILS



# QUARRY 1 PMT DESIGN

CONTRACTOR SHEET NO. WET 1 OF 14

DWG. NO.

# QUARRY 1 COVER SHEET

REVISION DATE

12/13/2019







	REFERENCES		REFERENCES			
DWG. NO.	TITLE	DWG. NO.	TITLE	NO. BY	CKD	APF
				$\square$		
				$\square$		
				$\square$		
				$\square$		
				$\square$		
				$\square$		
				$\square$		

GCC TIJE

	GEOMORPHIC GRADING BOUNDARY         DRAIN CENTERLINE         EXISTING INDEX CONTOUR (10-FT INTERVAL)         EXISTING INTERMEDIATE CONTOUR (2-FT INTERVAL)         ISOMETRIC CUT DEPTH LINES         ISOMETRIC FILL DEPTH LINES         DAYLIGHT LOCATIONS (0' DEPTH CUT/FILL)         EXISTING EPHEMERAL DRAINAGE         POND LOCATIONS
	N 0' 100' 200' 300'
	NOTES
	<ol> <li>CUT-FILL REPRESENTATIVE OF FINAL SURFACE CONFIGURATION. SUBGRADE (NO TOPSOIL) GRADING WILL REQUIRE 2 FEET OF ADDITIONAL CUT TO ALLOW FOR PROPER COVER MATERIAL PLACEMENT ON TOP (NOT REFLECTED IN VOLUMES OR MAGNITUDE OF CUTS AND FILLS).</li> </ol>
	IF TOPSOIL MATERIAL WITH A MINIMUM DEPTH OF 2' IS ENCOUNTERED DURING CONSTRUCTION, FURTHER EXCAVATION IS NOT REQUIRED IN THESE LOCATIONS. THIS CONDITION IS ANTICIPATED IN SOME LOCATIONS.
	2. EXISTING GROUND CONFIGURATION ASSUMES THAT LIMESTONE HAS BEEN REMOVED (AS INDICATED BY GCC). VOLUMES ARE BASED ON POST LIMESTONE REMOVAL
	3. CONSTRUCTION OF FINAL GRADED AND SUBGRADED SURFACE TO BE COMPLETED WITH MACHINE CONTROL EQUIPMENT.
	SEAL SEAL SEAL 1225 RED CEDAR CIRCLE, SUITE A FORT COLLINS, CO 80524 (970) 225-6080 WWW.WETEC.US
	QUARRY 1 PMT DESIGN
<b>CC</b> ERAS	QUARRY 1       WET 3 OF 14         CUT/FILL MAP & CONSTRUCTION       DWG. NO.         VOLUME SUMMARY       REVISION         DATE       12/13/2019



REVISIONS							REVISIONS					
DESCRIPTION	DATE	CLIENT	APP.	CKD	BY	NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CLIENT	PP		
						$  \wedge  $						
						$\neg$						
						$\neg$						
						$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$						
						$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$						
						$\square$						



		BOUNDARY											
		ITEREST											
	EXISTING INDEX CONTOL	IR (25-FT INTERVAL)											
450	EXISTING INTERMEDIATE	CONTOUR (5-FT INTERVAL)											
		OUR (25-FT INTERVAL)											
		RAINAGE											
425	EXISTING GRADE PROFILE												
	PROPOSED FINAL GRAD	E PROFILE											
400													
400	0'	50' 100' 150'											
375	NOTES												
	1. FINAL SURFACE TOPOGRAPHY SHOWN. THIS INCLUDES A MINIMUM												
	2-FOOT COVER OF SUITABLE PLANT G	ROWTH MEDIUM.											
	2. THE TYPICAL SMALL DRAIN AND TYPIC	CAL MEDIUM DRAIN SHALL BE											
475	LINER WILL CONSIST OF AT LEAST 70%	6 STONE, BY VOLUME, AND MAY											
475	INCLUDE UP TO 30% SOIL, BY VOLUME 2.1. THE STONE IN THE ROCKY SOIL L	INER WILL BE HARD AND											
	2.1. THE STONE IN THE ROCKY SOIL LINER WILL BE HARD AND HIGHLY WEATHER-RESISTANT.												
450	2.2. THE STONE IN THE ROCKY SOIL LINER WILL BE SIZED AS 6" MINUS.												
	2.3. THE STONE AND NATIVE SOIL MATERIAL SHALL BE MIXED PRIOR TO PLACEMENT.												
	2.4. THE SUBGRADE SHALL BE ROUGHENED PRIOR TO ROCKY SOIL												
425	AND THEN COMPACTED INTO THE	SUBGRADE WITH SUITABLE											
420	EQUIPMENT TO ACHIEVE A DENSE FREE OF VOIDS.	E MASS OF THAT IS VIRTUALLY											
	2.5. MOISTURE CONDITIONING SHALL												
	COMPACTED ROCKY SOIL LINER S	SHALL NOT BE COVERED WITH											
	TOPSOIL. SURFACE ROUGHENING OF THE FINAL COMPACTE												
	SIDESLOPES.												
	3. THE TYPICAL LARGE RIPRAP DRAIN SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH SOIL RIPRAP. SOIL RIPRAP SHALL CONSIST OF APPROXIMATELY 65 RIPRAP AND 35% SOIL, BY VOLUME.												
475													
	3.1. THE RIPRAP SHALL CONSIST OF A	WELL-GRADED MIXTURE OF											
450	SUFFICIENT SMALLER SIZES TO F	ILL VOIDS BETWEEN STONES.											
400	3.2. THE DIAMETER OF THE LARGEST GREATER THAN 2 TIMES THE D50	STONE SIZES SHOULD BE NO SIZE.											
	3.3. PRIOR TO MIXING WITH NATIVE SO	DILS, THE RIPRAP SHALL HAVE											
425	DMIN = 3 INCHES												
	D50 = 6 INCHES DMAX = 9 INCHES												
	3.4. THE RIPRAP SHALL BE HARD, AND	BULAR AND HIGHLY WEATHER											
	STONES SHALL NOT EXCEED 2.	TH TO THICKNESS OF ANGULAR											
500	3.5. RIPRAP AND NATIVE SOIL MATERI PLACEMENT.	AL SHALL BE MIXED PRIOR TO											
	3.6. THE SUBGRADE SHALL BE ROUGH	HENED PRIOR TO SOIL RIPRAP											
	COMPACTED INTO THE SUBGRAD	E WITH SUITABLE EQUIPMENT											
475	TO ACHIEVE A DENSE MASS OF S FREE OF VOIDS.	UIL RIPRAP THAT IS VIRTUALLY											
	3.7. MOISTURE CONDITIONING SHALL												
	RIPRAP SURFACE SHALL NOT BE	COVERED WITH TOPSOIL.											
450	SURFACE ROUGHENING OF THE F SHALL NOT OCCUR IN CHANNEL E	FINAL COMPACTED SURFACE											
	SEAL												
405	Moton Fonth	RECHARD SPOR											
+20	<b>Nădra: căl fii</b>	5 (15772) 6 #											
100	1225 RED CEDAR CIRCLE, SUITE A FORT COLLINS, CO 80524 (970) 225-6080 WWW WFTFC US												
200													
วลก													
	QUARRY 1 PMT												
		WET 5 OF 14											
$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}$		DWG. NO.											
-RAS		12/13/2019											









![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

REVISIONS

	REFERENCES	REFERENCES							
DWG. NO.	TITLE	DWG. NO.	TITLE	NO.	BY	CKD	APP	CLIENT	DATE
				$\square$					
				$\square$					
				$\square$					
				$\square$					
				$\square$					
				$\square$					
				$\square$			1		

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

	REVISIONS	REVISIONS	R								
	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CLIENT	APP.	CKD	BY	DESCRIPTION NO.	ATE	VT [	CLIEN	νPΡ
						1					
GCC IIJ											

4	— — — GEOMORPHIC GRADING BOUNDARY								
$\sum$	DRAIN ALIGNMENTS OF INTEREST								
	EXISTING INDEX CONTOUR (25-FT INTERVAL)								
	PROPOSED INDEX CONTOUR (23-FT INTERVAL)								
	EXISTING EPHEMERAL DRAINAGE								
	EXISTING GRADE PROFILE								
	PROPOSED FINAL GRADE PROFILE								
	Ň								
<u>1</u>	0' 50' 100' 150'								
	NOTES								
	1 FINAL SURFACE TOPOGRAPHY SHOWN THIS INCLUDES A MINIMUM								
	2-FOOT COVER OF SUITABLE PLANT GROWTH MEDIUM.								
	CONSTRUCTED FROM A COMPACTED ROCKY SOIL. THE ROCKY SOIL								
	LINER WILL CONSIST OF AT LEAST 70% STONE, BY VOLUME, AND MAY								
$\lambda$ /s	2.1. THE STONE IN THE ROCKY SOIL LINER WILL BE HARD AND								
	HIGHLY WEATHER-RESISTANT.								
	2.2. THE STONE IN THE ROCKY SOIL LINER WILL BE SIZED AS 6" MINUS.								
	2.3. THE STONE AND NATIVE SOIL MATERIAL SHALL BE MIXED PRIOR								
	TO PLACEMENT. 2.4 THE SUBGRADE SHALL BE ROUGHENED PRIOR TO ROCKY SOU								
	LINER PLACEMENT. THE ROCKY SOIL LINER SHALL BE PLACED								
	AND THEN COMPACTED INTO THE SUBGRADE WITH SUITABLE								
	FREE OF VOIDS.								
	2.5. MOISTURE CONDITIONING SHALL BE USED TO INCREASE								
Г) <u>;</u>	COMPACTED ROCKY SOIL LINER SHALL NOT BE COVERED WITH								
	TOPSOIL. SURFACE ROUGHENING OF THE FINAL COMPACTED								
	SURFACE SHALL NOT OCCUR IN CHANNEL BOTTOMS OR SIDESLOPES.								
	3. THE TYPICAL LARGE RIPRAP DRAIN SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH SOIL RIPRAP. SOIL RIPRAP SHALL CONSIST OF APPROXIMATELY 65%								
	RIPRAP AND 35% SOIL, BY VOLUME.								
	3.1. THE RIPRAP SHALL CONSIST OF A WELL-GRADED MIXTURE OF STONE LARGER STONE SHALL PREDOMINATE WITH								
	SUFFICIENT SMALLER SIZES TO FILL VOIDS BETWEEN STONES.								
	3.2. THE DIAMETER OF THE LARGEST STONE SIZES SHOULD BE NO								
	3.3. PRIOR TO MIXING WITH NATIVE SOILS, THE RIPRAP SHALL HAVE								
50	THE FOLLOWING GRADATION:								
	D50 = 6 INCHES								
	3.4. THE RIPRAP SHALL BE HARD, ANGULAR AND HIGHLY WEATHER RESISTANT. THE RATIO OF LENGTH TO THICKNESS OF ANGULAR								
25	STONES SHALL NOT EXCEED 2.								
	3.5. KIPKAP AND NATIVE SUIL MATERIAL SHALL BE MIXED PRIOR TO PLACEMENT.								
	3.6. THE SUBGRADE SHALL BE ROUGHENED PRIOR TO SOIL RIPRAP								
.00	PLACEMENT. SUL RIPRAP SHALL BE PLACED AND THEN COMPACTED INTO THE SUBGRADE WITH SUITABLE FOUIPMENT								
	TO ACHIEVE A DENSE MASS OF SOIL RIPRAP THAT IS VIRTUALLY								
	FREE OF VOIDS. 3.7. MOISTURE CONDITIONING SHALL BE USED TO INCREASE								
575	COMPACTION IF NATIVE SOILS ARE RELATIVELY DRY. THE SOIL								
	RIPRAP SURFACE SHALL NOT BE COVERED WITH TOPSOIL.								
	SHALL NOT OCCUR IN CHANNEL BOTTOMS OR SIDESLOPES.								
50	SFAI								
	CHARD SAO								
40	<b>Nater: Farth</b>								
	TECHNOLOGIES								
	1225 RED CEDAR CIRCLE, SUITE A								
	FORT COLLINS, CO 80524								
	WWW.WETEC.US								
	QUARKY I PIMI DESIGN								
	CONTRACTOR SHEET. NO. WET 10 OF 14								
	QUARRY 1								
	DRAIN D20								
	PLAN AND PROFILE								
ERAS	12/13/2019								

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

	REFERENCES		REFERENCES		REVISIONS		REVISIONS	
DWG. NO.	TITLE	DWG. NO.	TITLE	NO. BY CKD APP CLIENT	DATE DESCRIPTION	CKD APP. CLIENT DAT	DESCRIPTION	G
								GCC TIJ

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

REVISIONS								
IENT DATE	DESCRIPTION	NO. BY	CKD	APP.	CLIENT	DATE	DESCRIPTION	
		$\square$						
		$\square$						_
		$\square$						
		$\square$						$\Box$ G(

	2.57 Ac 2.57 Ac 2.57 Ac	EXISTING INDEX C EXISTING INTERMI PROPOSED INDEX PROPOSED INTER GEOMORPHIC GRA SUBWATERSHED A CHANNEL CONFLU SMALL DRAIN MEDIUM DRAIN	ONTOUR (25-F EDIATE CONTO CONTOUR (29 MEDIATE CON ADING BOUND BOUNDARY AREA (ACRES) JENCE AREA (A	T INTEF OUR (5-F 5-FT INT ITOUR ( ARY	RVAL) FT INTERVAL) ERVAL) 5-FT INTERVAL)				
	NOTES								
	1. FINAL SURFACE TOPOGRAPHY SHOWN. THIS INCLUDES A MINIMUM 2-FOOT COVER OF SUITABLE PLANT GROWTH MEDIUM.								
	2. THE TYPICAL CONSTRUCT LINER WILL C INCLUDE UP 2.1. THE STO HIGHLY	SMALL DRAIN AND ED FROM A COMPA CONSIST OF AT LEA TO 30% SOIL, BY VO ONE IN THE ROCKY S WEATHER-RESISTA	TYPICAL MED CTED ROCKY ST 70% STONE DLUME. SOIL LINER WI NT.	DIUM DR SOIL. T E, BY VC	AIN SHALL BE HE ROCKY SOIL DLUME, AND MAY ARD AND				
	2.2. THE STONE IN THE ROUKY SUIL LINER WILL BE SIZED AS 6" MINUS. 2.3. THE STONE AND NATIVE SOIL MATERIAL SHALL BE MIXED PRIOR								
	TO PLAC 2.4. THE SUE LINER PL AND THE EQUIPMI FREE OF	EMENT. BGRADE SHALL BE F ACEMENT. THE RO EN COMPACTED INT ENT TO ACHIEVE A VOIDS.	ROUGHENED F DCKY SOIL LIN TO THE SUBGF DENSE MASS	PRIOR T ER SHA RADE WI OF THA	O ROCKY SOIL LL BE PLACED TH SUITABLE T IS VIRTUALLY				
4	2.5. MOISTUR COMPAC COMPAC TOPSOIL SURFAC SIDESLC	RE CONDITIONING S CTION IF NATIVE SO CTED ROCKY SOIL L SURFACE ROUGH E SHALL NOT OCCU OPES.	SHALL BE USE ILS ARE RELA INER SHALL N IENING OF TH JR IN CHANNE	D TO IN TIVELY OT BE ( E FINAL L BOTT(	CREASE DRY. THE COVERED WITH COMPACTED DMS OR				
	3. THE TYPICAL LARGE RIPRAP DRAIN SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH SOIL RIPRAP. SOIL RIPRAP SHALL CONSIST OF APPROXIMATELY 65% RIPRAP AND 35% SOIL, BY VOLUME.								
	3.1. THE RIP STONE. SUFFICII 3.2. THE DIA GREATE 3.3 PRIOR T	RAP SHALL CONSIS LARGER STONE SH ENT SMALLER SIZES METER OF THE LAR R THAN 2 TIMES TH O MIXING WITH NAT	T OF A WELL-( IALL PREDOM 5 TO FILL VOIE GEST STONE E D50 SIZE. TVE SOILS TH	GRADEE INATE, V OS BETV SIZES S IF RIPRA	O MIXTURE OF VITH VEEN STONES. HOULD BE NO				
	THE FOL	LOWING GRADATIC DMIN = 3 INCHES D50 = 6 INCHES DMAX = 9 INCHES							
	3.4. THE RIP RESISTA STONES 3.5. RIPRAP	NAP SHALL BE HAR NT. THE RATIO OF SHALL NOT EXCEE AND NATIVE SOIL M	D, ANGULAR A LENGTH TO T D 2. ATERIAL SHAI	HICKNE	SS OF ANGULAR				
Drain Size Small	PLACEM 3.6. THE SUE PLACEM COMPAC TO ACHI FREE OF	ENT. BGRADE SHALL BE F ENT. SOIL RIPRAP TED INTO THE SUB EVE A DENSE MASS	ROUGHENED F SHALL BE PLA GRADE WITH S OF SOIL RIPF	PRIOR T CED AN SUITABI RAP THA	O SOIL RIPRAP D THEN LE EQUIPMENT AT IS VIRTUALLY				
Small Small Small Medium	3.7. MOISTUI COMPAC RIPRAP SURFAC SHALL N	RE CONDITIONING S CTION IF NATIVE SO SURFACE SHALL NO E ROUGHENING OF OT OCCUR IN CHAN	SHALL BE USE ILS ARE RELA DT BE COVERE THE FINAL CO INEL BOTTOM	D TO IN TIVELY ED WITH OMPACT S OR SI	CREASE DRY. THE SOIL TOPSOIL. ED SURFACE DESLOPES.				
Medium Medium Medium Medium	<b>SWate</b>	r. Earth	SEAL	HARD SP KN ME HC 15772	MER SIN				
Large	1225 RED CEDAI FORT COLLINS, (970) 225-6080 WWW.WETEC.U	R CIRCLE, SUITE A CO 80524 S	tic	DESSION hard	12/13/2019				
	QUARRY 1 PMT DESIGN								
				CONTRACT	OR SHEET. NO. ET 13 OF 14				
CC	QUARRY CROSS-	1 DRAIN DES SECTION DE	SIGN & TAILS	DWG. NO.	DATE				
ERAS					12/13/2019				

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)