GENERAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

FACILITIES MANAGEMENT DIVISION

THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO, ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT, MINING AND MINERALS DIVISION, ABANDONED MINE LAND PROGRAM

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP)

Abandoned Mine Land Program Professional Engineering Services

AMENDMENT TWO



RFP 30-521-23-06106

Amendment Release Date: June 2, 2023

Proposal Due Date: June 15, 2023

4:00 PM MDT via e-mail mike.tompson@emnrd.nm.gov

RFP # 30-521-23-06106 is amended as described herein:

A. Procurement Manager has been changed for this RFP.

1) Section II.A. Procurement Manager—delete the contact information for Martin Kuziel. Add the following:

EMNRD AML

Attention: Mike Tompson

New Mexico Abandoned Mine Land Program

1220 South St. Francis Drive

Santa Fe, NM 87505

Phone: (505) 690-8063

Email: mike.tompson@emnrd.nm.gov

All inquiries, questions or requests regarding this procurement should be submitted to the NEW procurement manager Mike Tompson at mike.tompson@emnrd.nm.gov. Offerors should contact ONLY the new procurement manager.

2) Section IV.A Delivery- delete Martin Kuziel's name and email. Add the following: Potential Offerors are requested to submit their proposals electronically in PDF format to Mike Tompson at mike.tompson@emnrd.nm.gov no later than 4:00 p.m. MDT on June 15, 2023.

B. Protest manager has been changed for this RFP.

Section II.C.11- delete the Protest Manager's name and email. Add the following:

Protest Manager: Albert Chang, EMNRD MMD Director

Protest Manager E-mail: albert.chang@emnrd.nm.gov

C. **Attendance List Adjustment:** Souder Miller is added to the pre-proposal meeting attendance list. They were verified to have attended the mandatory pre-proposal meeting.

Ouestions and Answers:

11 (**revised**). If the Prime Offeror lists several subconsultants within their proposal, are these subconsultants considered approved and do not have to go through the competitive procurement process listed in 2 C.F.R. 200.318 through 200.326?

Revised Response: All Offerors shall follow the requirements of 2 CFR 200.318-200.326 when selecting a subcontractor to be a part of their proposal team. The proposal shall state that the Offeror followed federal procurement requirements and document how the Offeror complied with 2 CFR 200.318-200.326. Please reference this link for more information about this requirement: https://www.acquisition.gov/far/part-9#FAR_Subpart_9_6.

20. The RFP doesn't mention threatened and endangered (T&E) species surveys, specifically for bats. Does AML believe T&E surveys should be included in the SOW? Or will AML requisition T&E services under separate contracts?

<u>Response:</u> Threatened and Endangered species surveys will be addressed under separate state contracts. It is not a part of this Engineering Services scope of work.

21. RFP Section V.B(9) implies that metadata will be provided by AML to the successful Offeror(s); is this correct, or should the RFP be read to mean that the successful Offeror(s) will submit metadata we create to AML? In other words, change "by" to "from."

<u>Response:</u> This section should read "metadata shall accompany all data <u>sent</u> by the successful Offeror(s)." Please cross out "received" and replace it with "sent".

22. Is the state able to disclose how many PSA's will be granted to finalists?

<u>Response:</u> The AML Program expects to award four to eight contracts. This number is subject to change based on the number of quality proposals received and the anticipated design workload of the Program.

23. In part C. "Explanation of Events" Section 6 states that proposals submitted by facsimile or other electronic means will not be accepted". This is contradictory to Part IV, Section A, "Delivery" which states that potential offerors must submit electronically in PDF format. Can you please state what your preferred method of delivery is?

<u>Response:</u> All proposals shall be submitted electronically to the Procurement Manager, Mike Tompson, via email. Please do not send by facsimile. See Question No. 3 from Amendment No. 1 for more details.

24. "Table 2: Evaluation Factors and Points" there is a total of 40 points awarded to Design Experience and Technical Competence. Is it safe to assume that each technical factor carries the same number of points, or are these not necessarily divided evenly? If not, are you able to specify the actual number of points that each technical evaluation factor can be awarded, specifically?

<u>Response:</u> "Design Experience and Technical Competence" will be scored as a whole, depending on the services the Offeror describes in their proposal (Specialized AML Services, Ecological Design Services, Photogrammetry and Mapping Services, or a combination thereof). Each sub-category does not have a specified number of points assigned.

Request for Proposal #: 30-521-23-06106 Amendment Two

Page-4 of 4

25. Is the state able to disclose how many PSA's will be granted to finalists?

<u>Response:</u> The AML Program expects to award four to eight contracts. This number is subject to change based on the number of quality proposals received and the anticipated design workload of the Program.

Use the following website link for additional information: https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/mmd/public-notices/