

From: [Korbet Moore](#)
To: [emnrd-parkscomments, EMNRD](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on Fees Study
Date: Friday, March 1, 2024 1:54:59 PM

CAUTION: This email originated outside of our organization. Exercise caution prior to clicking on links or opening attachments.

As a family with a 3 year old daughter that was able to go primitive camping multiple times last year, here are some thoughts for the fees study..

- Why are we combining and increasing primitive fees to greater than all the states that were used in the study? Colorado is maxed out at \$18/night for primitive. It was justified in the tables that \$20/day was lower than neighboring states, however that was for developed camping and not primitive.

- The estimated revenue impact of primitive camping is an increase of \$1.7 million, but were there any assumptions that included a decline in usage due to increased fees? Appears that we just took an average from 2017-2022 and applied the \$20/day fee. Which raises another question of why not the same year averages across the board? I loved the \$8/day fee and I could understand going to \$12/day for primitive camping, especially after not increasing after 25 years. However, due to the cost now, our camping activity will decline significantly in comparison to last year

- I'd recommend the annual passes being maintained, but for primitive camping only. In reference to the study, not too many out of state "snowbirds" will try to manipulate the system in order to sleep on the ground.

Overall, I understand an increase in fees but have concerns about the potential opportunities for the younger generation to get out in the wilderness due to potential price issues for families.

Thanks,
Korbet Moore